Four Debts Two Payments

\$659.97

This is an accounting entanglement called a "debt"

EDWARD J. WHITE ATTORNEY AT LAW 118 SOUTH ROYAL STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE 836-5444

May 19, 1992

Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell c/o Edgar A. Prichard, Esq. 8280 Greensboro Dr. #900 McLean, Va. 22102

Re: Estate of Jean M. O' Connell

Dear Mr. O'Connell,

In your letter of May 6 to Jean you asked that I communicate with you with regard to the Harold O'Connell Trust.

I am trying to prepare the estate tax, and as usual in these cases, there are problems trying to understand the flow of debts and income.

I do have a few questions which are put forward simply so that the figures on the Trust's tax returns and accounting will agree with the estate's.

- 1. The K-1 filed by the Trust for 1991 showed income to your mother of \$41,446.00. The Seventh Accounting appears to show a disbursement to her of \$40,000.00 plus first half realty taxes paid by the trust for her and thus a disbursal to her of \$1794.89. If these two disbursals are added the sum is \$41,794.89. This leaves \$348.89 which I cannot figure out. It could well be a disbursal of principal and not taxable.
- 2. The K-1 filed by the Trust showed a payment of \$816.00 in interest to the estate. You sent a check in the amount of \$1475.97 to the estate. What was the remaining \$659.97? Do I have this confused with the tax debt/credit situation which ran from the Third Accounting?
- 3. On the Seventh Accounting "Income per 7th Account" is shown as \$5181.71, but I cannot figure that one out either.

I am of the opinion that the estate owes the trust for the second half real estate taxes from September 15, 1991 through December 31, 1991 in the amount of \$1052.35. This is shown on your accounting a disbursed to the heirs. Should this be paid back to the heirs or to the Trust?

I believe that the income received from the savings accounts

Page 2 Ltr to Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell May 19, 1992

from September 15 to the date the various banks made their next payment to the Trust (9/30 and 9/21) should be split on a per diem basis, since the Trust terminated on her death. This will be a small amount of course.

Are there any other debts which your Mother owed the Trust?

I realize that Jo Ann Barnes prepared this and if you authorize it I can ask her to help me out.

Please understand that I have no problem with the Accounting, I m just trying to match things up. In the long run, since the beneficiaries are the same, the matter is academic.

Please send the bill for the appraisal whenever you receive it. Jean is filing the Fairfax form for re-assessment in her capacity as a co-owner in order to give us a better basis to get this assessment changed and to meet the county's deadline. It will state that the appraisal you have ordered will follow. I think this will be to all of your benefit in the long run.

Sincerely,

Edward J. White

EJW/e

Copy to: Jean M. Nader

	SCHEDULE K-1 Beneficiary's Share of Income, Deductions, Credits, Etc. (Form 1041)				OMB No. 1545-0092
hedinology 1901 medical year					1991
Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service Complete a separate Schedule K-1 for each beneficiary.					1891
Nam	Name of estate or trust Trust u/w H.A. O'Connell				Amended K-1
	ficiary's identifying n			yer identification number >	
		ress, and ZIP code	Fiduciary's name, add		
		an M. O'Connell		nnell, Trustee	
	•	Nader, Executrix	6541 Francon		
	50 Fourth A	venue on, Pennsylvania 15068	Springfield,	Virginia 22150	,
				(c) Calendar year 1991	Fa-m 1040 file
		(a) Allocable share item	(b) Amount	the amounts in	
1	interest		. 816	Schedule B, Part I, lir	ne 1
2				Schedule B, Part II, li	
		capital gain , , , , , ,	•	Schedule D, line 4, co	
	Net long-term c	apital gain		Schedule D, line 11,	column (g)
4a		e and other non-passive income before deductions. (see instructions)		Schedule E, Part III	
b	Depreciation .				
C					
5e		eal estate, and other passive incom illocable deductions. (see instructions)			
b					
C					
		<u> </u>			
6		mum tax purposes	01/		
7		lar tax purposes (add lines 1 through !	′') ————————————————————————————————————		
8		minimum tax purposes (subtract line		Form 6251, line 5r	
9		duction (Including certain generation			
4.0		r taxes) (attach computation)		Schedule A, line 25	- A (F 4040) II - F
10		st on a separate sheet)		Form 1116 or Schedul	
11	Tax preference i				
	_ ·	preciation		/ include on t	he applicable
ъ С	•		•	\ line of Form	6251 /
				1992 Form 8801	
12		the final year of estate or trust:	<i>*************************************</i>		
		ons on termination (attach computation		Schedule A, line 20	
b		tal loss carryover		Schedule D, line 5, c	olumn (f)
С		al loss carryover		Schedule D, line 14,	column (f)
đ	Net operating to	oss (NOL) carryover		Form 1040, line 22	
•			•	(Include on to of appropria	the applicable line) ate tax form
13	Other (itemize):				
	- ,	of estimated taxes credited to you ,		Form 1040, line 55	
b	Tax-exempt inte	rest		Form 1040, line 8b	
c		·			
đ	••••••				
•	•••••	***************************************			the applicable line
f	•••••••			of appropria	rra rex roum /

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA

ESTATE OF TRUST U/W OF H.A. O'CO	·	_			
CCOUNT OF Anthony O'Connell, Trus	tee		I	IDUCIA	RY # <u>2184</u> 0
Sumber of this account Seventh Account					
Covering period from1/1/91	to	12/31/9	1		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
DESCRIPTION			ASSETS RECEIVE (or On Hand)		BURSEMENTS
ASSETS HELD ON DECEMBER 31, 1991 FOR FUTURE ACCOUNTING Lynch Note 46.0994% interest in 15 acres Cash - Continental checking Computer Payable to the Estate of Jean M. O'Conner Deficit per 3 rd Account Deficit per 4 th Account Income per 5 th Account Deficit per 6 th Account (restated) Income per 7 th Account	s <u>s</u> <u>s</u>	(5,906.72) (687.03) 5,796.98 (2,908.97) (3,705.74) 5,181.71 1,475.97		\$	428,277.21 34,574.55 43,302.55 2,100.00
OTAL ASSETS HELD FOR FUTURE ACC	COUNTING		,	_ / \$	508,254.31
COTALS	₹		\$ 675,522.4	₂ / <u>s</u>	675,522.42
				,	·

Vouchers in support of disbursements are submitted herewith.

5/11/9Z

Anthony O'Connell, Trustee

KELLER BRUNER & COMPANY, P.C.

Certified Public Accountants • Management Consultants

February 12, 1993

Mr. Anthony O'Connell 6541 Franconia Road Springfield, Virginia 22150

Re: Trust u/w of H. A. O'Connell

Dear Mr. O'Connell:

Joanne Barnes has asked me to respond to your letter of January 21, 1993 concerning the differences in the "Total distributions" from the court accounting and the fiduciary return. I will also try to answer the other questions in your letter.

The amount on Page 2, Line 12 of Form 1041 in the amount of \$146,795 is the figure on a workpaper which I previously gave to you (copy attached). Listed below, again in another format, is how that \$146,795 was arrived at:

Mrs. Jean M. O'Connell	
Check #230	\$ 40,000.00
Check #251 (R E taxes)	
(\$3,330 x 53.9006%)	1,794.89
Sheila O'Connell	•
Check #268	20,000.00
Check #276	15,000.00
Jean Nader	
Check #267	20,000.00
Check #277	15,000.00
Anthony O'Connell	
Check #269	20,000.00
Check #278	 15,000.00
Total amount of checks	\$ 146,794.89

700 N. Fairfax Street • Suite 400 • P.O. Box 1250 • Alexandria, Virginia • 22313 (703) 549-7800 FAX (703) 836-5591

Mr. Anthony O'Connell February 12, 1993

Page 2

The \$146,794.89 or \$146,795 was the total amount of cash distributed to the beneficiaries or heirs of this trust during the calendar year 1991.

The \$1,794.89 of real estate taxes which you as Trustee paid on behalf of the three heirs (Shelia O'Connell, Jean Nader and Anthony O'Connell) was an obligation owed directly by the three heirs as your mother's interest in this real estate passed directly to each of you at her death. When you received the K-1's for 1991, attached was a schedule for each of you to report 1/3rd of these real estate taxes on your individual income tax returns.

The final point in your letter is in regards how to treat the \$1,475.97 of cash which was paid to your mother's estate in 1992. This is just a cash transfer to cure a cash deficiency as of the date of death and **NOTHING** else. On page 4 of the Seventh Account, your mother owed the Trust at the end of the Sixth Account \$3,705.74 but you had underdistributed \$5,181.71 of cash through her date of death. The \$1,475.97 just completes what was due her. The transfer to her estate has no tax effect for either 1991 or 1992.

I hope that the foregoing has answered your various questions. I am also returning to you, the letter which you sent with your letter of January 21, 1993. I have made a copy of it for our files.

Very truly yours,

KELLER BRUNER & COMPANY, P.C.

Fórest N. Balderson

FNB/hoc Enclosures



Commissioner of Accounts Office

Circuit Court of Fairfax County

Fair Oaks Plaza Suite 500 11350 Random Hills Road Fairfax, Virginia 22030 Telephone (703) 385-0268



August 8, 2000

Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell 216 Governors Lane Apt. #12 Harrisonburg, Virginia 22801

> RE: Harold A. O'Connell Trust; Fiduciary No. 21840

Dear Mr. O'Connell:

Enclosed please find a copy of my report approving your 11th account as trustee in the above matter as your Final Account. As you will see from the report, it appears to me from the information you have provided that the \$659.97 debt you report is not a trust asset. Even if the debt existed as you suggest, it's collectability would be so problematic and uncertain, and the effort so costly, as to render it worthless and make reasonable a decision for it to be abandoned as an asset. It certainly should not be the basis for keeping this trust estate open and requiring the filing of annual accounts indefinitely.

In the event that the trustee is successful in recovering \$659.97 or any other funds which are proper trust assets to be accounted for, such may be reported to the Commissioner of Accounts by an Amended Inventory and, thereafter, accounted for by proper accounts.

If you disagree with this action by me, you may file exceptions with the Court within fifteen (15) days of the filing of my report and take the matter up directly with the Court.

Very truly yours,

Jesse B. Wilson, III

Commissioner of Accounts

\$348.89

This is an accounting entanglement called a "debt"

EDWARD J. WHITE

ATTORNEY AT LAW

118 SOUTH ROYAL STREET

ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE 836-5444

May 19, 1992

Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell c/o Edgar A. Prichard, Esq. 8280 Greensboro Dr. #900 McLean, Va. 22102

Re: Estate of Jean M. O' Connell

Dear Mr. O'Connell,

In your letter of May 6 to Jean you asked that I communicate with you with regard to the Harold O'Connell Trust.

I am trying to prepare the estate tax, and as usual in these cases, there are problems trying to understand the flow of debts and income.

I do have a few questions which are put forward simply so that the figures on the Trust's tax returns and accounting will agree with the estate's.

- 1. The K-1 filed by the Trust for 1991 showed income to your mother of \$41,446.00. The Seventh Accounting appears to show a disbursement to her of \$40,000.00 plus first half realty taxes paid by the trust for her and thus a disbursal to her of \$1794.89. If these two disbursals are added the sum is \$41,794.89. This leaves \$348.89 which I cannot figure out. It could well be a disbursal of principal and not taxable.
- 2. The K-1 filed by the Trust showed a payment of \$816.00 in interest to the estate. You sent a check in the amount of \$1475.97 to the estate. What was the remaining \$659.97? Do I have this confused with the tax debt/credit situation which ran from the Third Accounting?
- 3. On the Seventh Accounting "Income per 7th Account" is shown as \$5181.71, but I cannot figure that one out either.

I am of the opinion that the estate owes the trust for the second half real estate taxes from September 15, 1991 through December 31, 1991 in the amount of \$1052.35. This is shown on your accounting a disbursed to the heirs. Should this be paid back to the heirs or to the Trust?

I believe that the income received from the savings accounts

Page 2 Ltr to Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell May 19, 1992

from September 15 to the date the various banks made their next payment to the Trust (9/30 and 9/21) should be split on a per diem basis, since the Trust terminated on her death. This will be a small amount of course.

Are there any other debts which your Mother owed the Trust?

I realize that Jo Ann Barnes prepared this and if you authorize it I can ask her to help me out.

Please understand that I have no problem with the Accounting, I m just trying to match things up. In the long run, since the beneficiaries are the same, the matter is academic.

Please send the bill for the appraisal whenever you receive it. Jean is filing the Fairfax form for re-assessment in her capacity as a co-owner in order to give us a better basis to get this assessment changed and to meet the county's deadline. It will state that the appraisal you have ordered will follow. I think this will be to all of your benefit in the long run.

Sincerely,

Edward J. White

EJW/e

Copy to: Jean M. Nader

	(EDULE K-1 m 1041)	Beneficiary's Share of I		me, Deduction	s, Credits, Etc.	OMB No. 1545-0092
	ment of the Treasury I Revenue Service	beginning	, 1991,	•		1991
Nam	e of estate or tru					Amended K-1
Rene	ficiary's identifying o	umber ► 230-50-6044		Estate's or trust's amo	loyer identification number	
Bane	ficiary's name, add	iress, and ZIP coda		Fiduciary's name, ad		02-0209107
	ean M. O'Co				nnell, Trustee	
		Nader, Executrix		6541 Francor		
	50 Fourth A			Springfield,		
		on, Pennsylvania 15068		Shringitera,	. VIERTHIA 2213	
					(c) Calendar year 1991	Form 1040 files enter
		(a) Aliocabia share item		(b) Amount	the amounts/(n	columny (b) on:
1	Interest		, ,	41,446, 5	Schedule B, Part I, II	p6_1/
2	Dividends				Schedule B, Part II,/	ine 5
		capital gain	,	1 00	Schedule D, line 4/(c	
<u>_b</u>	Net long-term o	apital gain	<u> </u>	/ (ب	Schedule D, line /11	column (g)
44		e and other non-passive income be e deductions. (see instructions) .		1	Schedule E, Part III	
ь	•		• •			
			•			
			• •			
	Rental, rental r	eal estate, and other passive included included including the contraction of the contract				
_	•	- ·	•			
C d	Amortization	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •		*////////////////////////////////////	
				43,546		
6		mum tax purposes		41,446		
7 6		ilar tax purposes (add lines 1 throug minimum tax purposes (subtract lin		71(440		
_	from line 6)			2,100	Form 6251, line 5r	BYM)
9	skipping transfe	duction (including certain general or taxes) (attach computation) ist on a separate sheet)			Schedule A, line 25 Form 1116 or Schedu	i e A (Form 1040), line 1
11	Tax preference					
	•	preciation				***************************************
ь			• •			the applicable
					- line of Form	n 6251 /
ă	Exclusion items				1992 Form 8801	
12		the final year of estate or trust:	<u> </u>			
14		ons on termination (attach computa	tion)		Schedule A. line 20	***************************************
ь		tal loss carryover	•		Schedule D, line 5, d	eniumo (A
~		tal loss carryover			Schedule D, line 14,	
d	-	oss (NOL) carryover	٠.		Form 1040, line 22	oolerini (i)
	7		• •		_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	the applicable line \
f	**************		••••		of appropri	
13	Other (itemize):	···				
	Trust payments	of estimated taxes credited to you			Form 1040, line 55	•
ь	Tax-exempt into	orest, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			Form 1040, line 8b	
C			••••		4	
đ	***************************************	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••		_	
•	*******************				Include on	the applicable line)
f	,,	***************************************	• • • • •		\ of appropri	ate tax form /
_					1)

NAME TRUST WW H. A. O CONNECT ANTHONY O. CONNELL, Trustee ADDRESS 65-41 Franchia Road Spring field, Vuiginia 22157 FEDERAL IDE	RITY NO.	131/91
ADDRESS 65-41 Francia Road PEDERAL IDE	INTIFICATION NO.	62-620916
Schedule K-1 (Form 1041)		1
Schedute (C-1 (Form 1841)		
1/1/91 to 9/15/91 for Jean M. O'Connell		
	1.1	
Real estate taxes paid	1.795	
	. ,	
n// +		
Attach to K-1. Bo not attach to return		
R-1.		
do not attack		
toretuen_		
1		
		#
		11

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA

ESTATE OF TRUST U/W OF H.A. O'CONNE	<u>SLL</u>		188		
ACCOUNT OF Anthony O'Connell, Trustee			FII	UCIAF	RY # <u>21840</u>
Number of this account Seventh Account	110.00		•		
Covering period from1/1/91	_ to _	12/31/91			
DESCRIPTION			ASSETS RECEIVED (or On Hand)	Disi	BURSEMENTS
Balance brought forward	3			s	9,549.96
Purchase of computer program Compass Anthony O'Connell					250.79 ~ 97.71 ~
Trustees' fees - Income - Principal					2,510.97 6,268.90
TOTAL DISBURSEMENTS				<u>\$</u>	18,678.33
DISTRIBUTIONS Jean M. O'Connell					
Income distribution 4/22/91 Real estate taxes - 1 st half (beneficiary's share - 53.9006%)				S	40,000.00 ~ 1,794.89 ~
Sheila O'Connell Real estate taxes - 2 nd half (heir's share of 53.9006%)			/	ı	598.30 ,
Principal distributions 9/30/91 11/14/91 Jean O'Connell Nader	-	20,000.00			35,000.00
Real estate taxes - 2 nd half (heir's share of 53.9006%) Principal distribution 9/30/91	:	20,000.00			598.30
11/14/91	-	15,000.00			35,000.00
Anthony O'Connell Real estate taxes - 2 nd half (heir's share of 53.9006%)			/		598.29
Principal distributions 9/30/91 11/14/91	\$ -	20,000.00 <u>15,000.00</u>			35,000.00
TOTAL DISTRIBUTIONS				S	148,589.78

KELLER BRUNER & COMPANY, P.C.

Certified Public Accountants • Management Consultants

February 12, 1993

Mr. Anthony O'Connell 6541 Franconia Road Springfield, Virginia 22150

Re: Trust u/w of H. A. O'Connell

Dear Mr. O'Connell:

Joanne Barnes has asked me to respond to your letter of January 21, 1993 concerning the differences in the "Total distributions" from the court accounting and the fiduciary return. I will also try to answer the other questions in your letter.

The amount on Page 2, Line 12 of Form 1041 in the amount of \$146,795 is the figure on a workpaper which I previously gave to you (copy attached). Listed below, again in another format, is how that \$146,795 was arrived at:

Mrs. Jean M. O'Connell	
Check #230	\$ 40,000.00
Check #251 (R E taxes)	
(\$3,330 x 53.9006%)	1,794.89 .
Sheila O'Connell	
Check #268	20,000.00
Check #276	15,000.00
Jean Nader	
Check #267	20,000.00
Check #277	15,000.00
Anthony O'Connell	
Check #269	20,000.00
Check #278	15,000.00
Total amount of checks	<u>\$ 146,794.89</u>

700 N. Fairfax Street • Suite 400 • P.O. Box 1250 • Alexandria, Virginia • 22313 (703) 549-7800 FAX (703) 836-5591

Mr. Anthony O'Connell February 12, 1993

Page 2

The \$146,794.89 or \$146,795 was the total amount of cash distributed to the beneficiaries or heirs of this trust during the calendar year 1991.

The \$1,794.89 of real estate taxes which you as Trustee paid on behalf of the three heirs (Shelia O'Connell, Jean Nader and Anthony O'Connell) was an obligation owed directly by the three heirs as your mother's interest in this real estate passed directly to each of you at her death. When you received the K-1's for 1991, attached was a schedule for each of you to report 1/3rd of these real estate taxes on your individual income tax returns.

The final point in your letter is in regards how to treat the \$1,475.97 of cash which was paid to your mother's estate in 1992. This is just a cash transfer to cure a cash deficiency as of the date of death and **NOTHING** else. On page 4 of the Seventh Account, your mother owed the Trust at the end of the Sixth Account \$3,705.74 but you had underdistributed \$5,181.71 of cash through her date of death. The \$1,475.97 just completes what was due her. The transfer to her estate has no tax effect for either 1991 or 1992.

I hope that the foregoing has answered your various questions. I am also returning to you, the letter which you sent with your letter of January 21, 1993. I have made a copy of it for our files.

Very truly yours,

KELLER BRUNER & COMPANY, P.C.

Fórest N. Balderson

FNB/hoc Enclosures

	HEDULE K-1 m 1041)	Beneficiary's Share of Incol	me, Deductioner 1991, or fiscal year		OMB No. 1545-0092
	tment of the Treesury of Revenue Service	1991			
	ne of estate or tru	Trust u/w H.A. O'Connell	·		Amended K-1
		number > 225-50-9052		ployer identification number	62-6209167
3ene	ificiary's name, ado	tress, and ZIP code		ddress, and ZIP code	<u> </u>
Ţ	Jean O'Conne	ell Nader	Anthony O'	Connell, Trustee	
3	350 Fourth A	venue	6541 France	onia Road	
1	lew Kensingt	on, Pennsylvania 15068	Springfield	d, Virginia 2215	0
	_	(4) Aliocable share item	(b) Amount	(c) Calendar year 1991 the amounts in	
1	interest			Schedule B, Part I, lie	ne 1
2				Schedule B, Part II, II	
_		capital gain		Schedule D, line 4, c	
		apital gain	32,673	Schedule D, line 11,	
48		ne and other non-passive income before e deductions. (see instructions)		Schedule E, Part III	
ь	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
c					
ď	Amortization				
5a	Rental, rental r	real estate, and other passive income allocable deductions. (see instructions)			
Ь	Depreciation				
C					
₫	Amortization .				
6	Income for mini	mum tax purposes	34,018		
7	Income for regu	lar tax purposes (add lines 1 through 5)	32,673		
6	Adjustment for	minimum tax purposes (subtract line 7	1,345	Form 6251, line 5r	
9	skipping transfe	duction (including certain generation- er taxes) (attach computation)		Schedule A, line 25	A (Fam. 40.10)
0		ist on a separate sheet)		Form 1116 or Schedul	
1	Tax preference i	•			
		preciation,			the applicable \
Ь				- line of Form	
q	Amortization . Exclusion items			1002 Form 8801	
_				1992 Form 8801	
2		the final year of estate or trust: ons on termination (attach computation)		Schedule A, line 20	
8		ital loss carryover		Schedule D, line 5, c	oluma <i>(</i> f)
C	·-	tal loss carryover		Schedule D, line 14,	
d	-	oss (NOL) carryover		Form 1040, line 22	waterini (i)
•					the applicable line)
13	Other (itemize):				
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of estimated taxes credited to you		Form 1040, line 55	
b	• •	erest		Form 1040, line 8b	
C				10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,	
d					
•				/ Include on	the applicable line
			1	1 4	

.....

ANTHONEY O'CONNE (1, Traster SOCIAL SECI	URITY NO	1/91
Schedule K-1 (Form 1041) 	SHIFTGATION NO.	
Realistate taxes paid 5 \$ 3,530 (53,900690)	1795	
- Heir's 1/3 rol share		599
24 (Jak-1		
Attach to K-1		
to return		
•		

	EDULE K-1 Beneficiary's Share of Inc	ome, Deduction	s, Credits, Etc.	OMB No. 1545-0092
Departr	1991			
Nam	Revenue Service Complete a separate S e of estate or trust Trust u/w H.A. 0 Connell		•	Amended K-1
Benefi	iciary's identifying number ➤ 224-54-7273		loyer identification number	62-6209167
	iciary's name, address, and ZIP code	Fiduciary's name, add		
S	heila O'Connell	Anthony O'Co	nnell, Trustee	
44	4 Carleton Street	6541 Francor	ia Road	
P	ortland, Maine 04102	Springfield,	Virginia 22150	0
	(a) Allocable share item	(b) Amount	(c) Calendar year 1991 the amounts in	
1	interest		Schedule B, Part I, li	ne 1
•	Dividends		Schedule B, Part II, I	
	Net short-term capital gain		Schedule D, line 4, c	
ь	Net long-term capital gain	32,673	Schedule D, line 11,	column (g)
	Business income and other non-passive income before directly allocable deductions. (see instructions)		Schedule E, Part III	
	Depreciation			
C	Depletion			
d	Amortization			
54	Rental, rental real estate, and other passive income before directly allocable deductions. (see instructions)	•		
ь	Depreciation			
	Depletion			
d	Amortization			
8	Income for minimum tax purposes			
7	Income for regular tax purposes (add lines 1 through 5	32.673		
	Adjustment for minimum tax purposes (subtract line 7			
	from line 6)	1.345	Form 6251, line 5r	
	Estate tax deduction (Including certain generation- skipping transfer taxes) (attach computation)		Schedule A, line 25 Form 1116 or Schedu	la A (Form 1040) line i
	Foreign taxes (list on a separate sheet)			le A (Point 1040), line
	Tax preference items (itemize):			
	Accelerated depreciation	,	/ Include on	the applicable \
	Depletion	•	line of Form	n 62 51 /
ď	Amortization		1992 Form 8801	
			1992 FORM 6601	
	Distributions in the final year of estate or trust:		T	
	Excess deductions on termination (attach computation	·	Schedule A, line 20	and common of the
	Short-term capital loss carryover	•	Schedule D, line 5, c	• • •
C	Long-term capital loss carryover		Schedule D, line 14, Form 1040, line 22	column (t)
d	Net operating loss (NOL) carryover	•		the applicable line \
•	***************************************		of appropri	ate tax form
13	Other (itemize):			
	Trust payments of estimated taxes credited to you .		Form 1040, line 55	
a b	Tax-exempt interest		Form 1040, line 8b	
_		•		
d				
4			/ Include on	the applicable line \
•				ate tax form
1		•	-	·

		7	
NAME TRUST 4/W H. A. O CONNECL	YEAR ENDING	/2/	31/91
ADDRESS 6541 Francoia Road Springfield, Vinginia 22150 Schedule K-1 (Form 1041)	SOCIAL SECUR	RITY NO	12 (000)
Springfield, Vinginia 22/50	FEDERAL IDE	NTIFICATION NO	o. 62-6209167
Schedule K-1 (Form 1041)			
9/16/91 to 12/31/91 to heir's			
Realistate taxes paid (53.9006%)		1795	
(53.9006%)			
- Heir's 1/3 rd share			598
			_
			-
			-
	,		
			-

.

SCHEDULE	K-1
(Form 1041)	1

Beneficiary's Share of Income, Deductions, Credits, Etc. OMB No. 1545-0092

for the calendar year 1991, or fisc

١	•	Ç	ı	ı	y	X	r								
									,	1	9		•		

Fiduciary's name, address, and ZIP code

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

beginning, 1991, ending ► Complete a separate Schedule K-1 for each beneficiary.

	-			
ame M	~1	estate	Δr	tra iet

Beneficiary's name, address, and ZIP code

Trust u/w H.A. O'Connell Beneficiary's identifying number ➤ 225-52-7637

Amended K-1 Final K-1 Estate's or trust's employer identification number > 62-6209167

Anthony O'Connell 6541 Franconia Road	6541 Franco	Anthony O'Connell, Trustee 6541 Franconia Road				
Springfield, Virginia 22150	Springfield	i, Virginia 22150				
(a) Allocable share item	(b) Amount	(c) Calendar year 1991 Form 1040 filers enter the emounts in column (b) on:				
1 Interest		Schedule B, Part I, line 1 Schedule B, Part II, line 5 Schedule D, line 4, column (g)				
b Net long-term capital gain		Schedule D, line 11, column (g) Schedule E, Part III				
b Depreciation						
before directly allocable deductions, (see instructions) . b Depreciation	,					
6 Income for minimum tax purposes , , , ,						
7 income for regular tax purposes (add lines 1 through 5) 8 Adjustment for minimum tax purposea (aubtract line 7 from line 6)		Form 6251, line 5r				
9 Estate tax deduction (including certain generation- skipping transfer taxes) (attach computation)		Schedule A, line 25 Form 1116 or Schedule A (Form 1040), line				
11 Tax preference Items (itemize): a Accelerated depreciation		(Include on the applicable) line of Form 6251				
12 Distributions in the final year of estate or trust:						
Excess deductions on termination (attach computation) b Short-term capital loss carryover c Long-term capital loss carryover d Net operating loss (NOL) carryover		Schedule A, line 20 Schedule D, line 5, column (f) Schedule D, line 14, column (f) Form 1040, line 22 (Include on the applicable line) of appropriate tax form				
13 Other (itemize): a Trust payments of estimated taxes credited to you		Form 1040, line 55				
b Tax-exempt interest. c d e		Form 1040, line 8b (Include on the applicable line) of appropriate tax form				

For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see page 1 of the Instructions for Form 1041. Cat. No. 113800 Schedule K-1 (Form 1041) 1991 19034

State modifications: \$834 State income tax-addition

599

235 15 - 705

NAME TRUST U/W H. A. O'CONNELL
ANTHONCY O'CONNELL, Trustee
ADDRESS 6541 Francoia Road
Souriation, Vinginia 22/50

Schedule K-1 (Form 1041) 9/16/91 to 12/31/91 to heir's Realistate taxes paid (1795 (53.900670) Alein's 1/3rd share 598 Attack to k-1 donot attack to return	Springfield, Vinginia 22/50			
9/16/91 to 12/31/91 to heir's Realistate takes paid (53.9001/0) Heir's 1/312 share 598 Attack to k-1 donot attack	Schedule K-1 (Form 1041)			
Realistate taxes paid 1795 (53.90069a) - Heir's 1/31d share 598 Attack to k-1 dunot attack				
Realistate taxes paid 1795 (53.90069a) - Heir's 1/31d share 598 Attack to k-1 dunot attack				
Realistate taxes paid 1795 (53.90069a) - Heir's 1/31d share 598 Attack to k-1 dunot attack	alu las to relación to basía			
Attack to k-1 donot attack	4/16/11 10 /2/8/191 10 Keeks			
Attack to k-1 donot attack			<u> </u>	
Attack to k-1 donot attack	Realistate taxes paid	7795		
Attack to k-1 donot attach	(53.9006%)			
Attack to k-1 donot attach			.	
Attack to k-1 donot attach	- Heir's 1/3 rd share		598	-
donotatad				
donotatad	(a)			
donotatad				
donotatad	Attack to k-1			
	dunst attach -			
40 where and a second s				
	- to return -			
				,
			 	
	•			

Debt \$34,056

Payment 1

The Lynch payment of \$125,188 to Jean O'Connell on April 21, 1991, is not mentioned.

Not Reported \$125,188 April 21,1991

The April 21, 1991, payment of \$125,188 from the Lynch note to Jean O'Connell was not reported to the IRS. In my May 29, 1992, letter to Edward White I said it was taxable and should be reported, and he reported it on an amended return. Can we expose the accounting trail for the April 21, 1991, payment of \$125,188?

EDWARD J. WHITE ATTORNEY AT LAW 118 SOUTH ROYAL STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE 836-5444

May 19, 1992

Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell c/o Edgar A. Prichard, Esq. 8280 Greensboro Dr. #900 McLean, Va. 22102

Re: Estate of Jean M. O' Connell

Dear Mr. O'Connell,

In your letter of May 6 to Jean you asked that I communicate with you with regard to the Harold O'Connell Trust.

I am trying to prepare the estate tax, and as usual in these cases, there are problems trying to understand the flow of debts and income.

I do have a few questions which are put forward simply so that the figures on the Trust's tax returns and accounting will agree with the estate's.

- 1. The K-1 filed by the Trust for 1991 showed income to your mother of \$41,446.00. The Seventh Accounting appears to show a disbursement to her of \$40,000.00 plus first half realty taxes paid by the trust for her and thus a disbursal to her of \$1794.89. If these two disbursals are added the sum is \$41,794.89. This leaves \$348.89 which I cannot figure out. It could well be a disbursal of principal and not taxable.
- 2. The K-1 filed by the Trust showed a payment of \$816.00 in interest to the estate. You sent a check in the amount of \$1475.97 to the estate. What was the remaining \$659.97? Do I have this confused with the tax debt/credit situation which ran from the Third Accounting?
- 3. On the Seventh Accounting "Income per 7th Account" is shown as \$5181.71, but I cannot figure that one out either.

I am of the opinion that the estate owes the trust for the second half real estate taxes from September 15, 1991 through December 31, 1991 in the amount of \$1052.35. This is shown on your accounting a disbursed to the heirs. Should this be paid back to the heirs or to the Trust?

I believe that the income received from the savings accounts

from September 15 to the date the various banks made their next payment to the Trust (9/30 and 9/21) should be split on a per diem basis, since the Trust terminated on her death. This will be a small amount of course.

Are there any other debts which your Mother owed the Trust?

I realize that Jo Ann Barnes prepared this and if you authorize it I can ask her to help me out.

Please understand that I have no problem with the Accounting, I m just trying to match things up. In the long run, since the beneficiaries are the same, the matter is academic.

Please send the bill for the appraisal whenever you receive it. Jean is filing the Fairfax form for re-assessment in her capacity as a co-owner in order to give us a better basis to get this assessment changed and to meet the county's deadline. It will state that the appraisal you have ordered will follow. I think this will be to all of your benefit in the long run.

Sincerely,

Edward J. White

EJW/e

Copy to: Jean M. Nader

Sunshine 1

Sunshine on the Lynch payment of \$125,188 to Jean O'Connell on April 21, 1991

Anthony O'Connell 6541 Franconia Road Springfield, Virginia 22150 May 29, 1992

Mr. Ed White, Attorney 118 South Royal Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Reference: Your letter of May 19, 1992

Dear Mr. White:

Thank you for your letter concerning the Seventh Trust accounting. In the future would you please send letters concerning me or the trust directly to me? It will save the beneficiaries attorney expense. I would appreciate you sending a copy to Mr. Prichard.

I talked with Mr. Forrest Balderson today. Mr. Balderson prepared the account and states that the numbers are correct. He reminded me that court accounting and taxable accounting are different animals and often do not match. I believe this applies to your questions in paragraphs 1 and 2. Please feel free to call Mr. Balderson at (703) 549-7800.

I will try to address your paragraph 3. Rather than wait until the end of each year and calculate the exact net income of the trust to be distributed to my mother, I estimated the net income in April so I could make the distribution to her immediately after the trust received the annual April payment. The consequent year end adjustments were:

Third Account	\$ -5,906.72	{Mother owed to trust}
Fourth Account	- 687.03	{Mother owed to trust}
Fifth Account	+5,796.98	{Trust owed to mother}
Sixth Account	<u>-2,908.97</u>	{Mother owed to trust}
Net carryover	\$ -3,705.74	{Mother owed to trust}
Seventh Account, 1991	\$ +5,181.71	{Trust owed to mother}

The net carryover of \$-3,705.74 up to the seventh account combined with the \$+5,181.71 of the seventh account netted \$1,475.97 the trust owed my mother. This is the \$1,475.97 check I mailed to you.

Mr. Balderson tells me he called you concerning the real estate taxes before he did the account and discussed it with you. Is it necessary to change it now?

My trust accounting is on a cash basis. I think a per diem split of the September interest would be accrual accounting. I don't think I can mix the two methods. If the Commissioner of Accounts says it's appropriate, it's fine with me.

At this point in time, I believe Mr. Balderson and I are of one mind that the estate does not owe the trust and the trust does not owe the estate.

- I have a few questions concerning my mother's 1991 tax return.
- 1. My copy shows she should be penalized by IRS and Virginia because adequate estimated tax payments were not made after her death. I believe my sister is convinced I am responsible for this. If it is my fault, I will pay for it out of my pocket. I feel the other beneficiaries should not be charged for the negligence of another. Would you please lay out the specifics on what happened? Please be very specific.
- 2. My copy also does not show the principal of \$125,188.17 paid to my mother by the Lynch Note in April of 1991. It does show the interest. With a gross profit percentage of .79 on the installment sale, about \$ 98,898.65 of the \$125,188.17 should have been reported on line 13 of the 1040 as a capital gain. It appears that this omission is up and above the penalties and interest already acknowledged. Why was it not reported? Will you amend the return?
- 3. On Schedule B under dividend income, what is the significance of "**BAL ON 1040 OF JEAN NADER, SSN 225 50 9052"?
- I look forward to your response.

Yours truly,

Anthony O'Connell

1

Enclosures:

Your letter of May 19, 1992

IRS Form 1040, Schedule B and Wavier of Penalty Request for Jean O'Connell, 1991. The other IRS forms attached to this return were not included in this enclosure.

Copies to:

Mr. Ed Prichard

Mr. Forrest Balderson

Ms. Jean Nader

Ms. Sheila O'Connell

EDWARD J. WHITE

ATTORNEY AT LAW

118 SOUTH ROYAL STREET

ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE 836-5444

June 11, 1992

Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell 6541 Franconia Rd. Springfield, Va. 22150

Re: Estate of Jean M. O' Connell

Dear Mr. O'Connell,

Thank you very much for your letter of June 9 and the appraisal.

I am helping Jean with the county matter and would appreciate your assistance since you certainly have much more expertise in the Accotink affair than anyone else. I agree that we must amplify the material previously sent to the county, and that the letter you enclosed is most pertinent. I had copies you sent me several years ago of the 1987 letters you wrote and received, but did not have the October letter.

Enclosed is a proposed addendum for the county which I wish you would look over, edit and add any comments that you think we should make. I am sure there are many factors that I have missed that you can add and welcome your input.

With regard to the income tax matter and the capital gain from the receipt of principal on the Lynch note in April 1991, I was following the 1990 return and simply did not pick up the fact that there was a principal payment in 1991. I will most certainly pay any interest and penalty which might accrue in this regard, and sincerely appreciate your calling it to my attention.

Again, I appreciate your help.

20/10

Edward X White

EJW/e

Copy to: Jean M. Nader

Edgar A. Prichard, Esq.

EDWARD J. WHITE

ATTORNEY AT LAW

118 SOUTH ROYAL STREET

ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE 836-5444

June 30, 1992

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

Re: Jean M. O'Connell SSN 230 50 6044 1991 INCOME TAX RETURN

Gentlemen:

Enclosed is an amended return in this case. The amendment reflects the receipt of \$99,337.00 of taxable income which was due to a principal payment on a note.

This payment was received in the Spring of 1991. Mrs. O'Connell died in September 1991. The original returns were based upon her previous year's return when there was no such payment. At the time of filing the receipt of this capital gain had not been called to the attention of the Co-Executors.

It is requested that the interest and penalty in this case be waived.

Sincerely

Edward J. White

Jean M. Wader

Co-Executors

EJW/e

_

ALF E COL

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service PHILADELPHIA, PA 19

SEP. 14, 1992 Date of this notice:

230-50-6044 Taxpayer Identifying Number DEC. 31, 1991

Form: 1040 Tax Period:

For assistance you may

call us at:

LOCAL RICHMOND OTHER VA

649-2361 1-800-829-1040

Or you may write to us at the address shown at the left, If you write, be sure to attach the bottom part of this notice,

28222-112-33810-2

JEAN M OCOHNELL DECD EDWARD WHITE & JEAN HADER CO EXEC ALEXANDRIA 22314-3392 VA

STATEMENT OF CHANGE TO YOUR ACCOUNT

AS YOU REQUESTED, WE CHANGED YOUR ACCOUNT FOR 1991 TO CORRECT YOUR CAPITAL GAINS DISTRIBUTIONS.

STATEMENT OF ACCOUNT

ACCOUNT BALANCE BEFORE THIS CHANGE

AMOUNT YOU NOW OWE

PRIOR PAYMENT

\$28,334.00CR

JULY 7, 1992

INCREASE IN TAX BECAUSE OF THIS CHANGE INTEREST CHARGED - SEE ENCLOSED NOTICE - CODE 09 28,334.00

526.55

\$526.55

YOU MAY AYOTO ADDITIONAL INTEREST AND PENALTIES IF YOU FAY THE AMOUNT YOU OWE BY SEP. 24, 1992. PLEASE MAKE YOUR CHECK OR MONEY CROER PAYABLE TO THE INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE. WRITE YOUR SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER ON YOUR PAYMENT AND RETURN IT WITH THE BOTTOM PART OF THIS HOTICE. AN ENVELOPE IS ENCLOSED FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE. THANK YOU FOR YOUR SERVICE. WRITE YOUR PART OF THIS NOTICE. COUPERATION.

THIS IS A RESULT OF YOUR CORRESPONDENCE DATED JUNE 27, 1992.

Murker & Wertlert: Blunk & Charact Cha. Alexandring Duc TATHE OF C Amendeo 230 50 6044 ~::056001066: 9037604 EXECUTORE

make sure that IRS employees give courteous responses and correct information to taxpayers, a second IRS employee sometimes listens in on ephone calls.

ep this part for your records

Overlay 6 Form 8488 (Rev. 8-91)

EDWARD J. WHITE ATTORNEY AT LAW 118 SOUTH ROYAL STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE 836-5444

June 30, 1992

Virginia Department of Taxation P. O. BOX 760 RICHMOND, VA. 23206-0760

> Re: Jean M. O'Connell SSN 230 50 6044 -1991 INCOME TAX RETURN

Gentlemen:

Enclosed is an amended return in this case. The amendment reflects the receipt of \$99,337.00 of taxable income which was due to a principal payment on a note.

This payment was received in the Spring of 1991. O'Connell died in September 1991. The original returns were based upon her previous year's return when there was no such payment. At a the time of filing the receipt of this capital gain had not been called to the attention of the Co-Executors.

It is a requested that ather interest and penalty in this case be -waived___

Edward J. White

Co-Executors

EJW/e -

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TAXATION NOTICE OF ASSESSMENT

P.O. Box 2369, Richmond, VA 23218-2369 (804) 367-8031

ccount # 3111 #

eath Date

230-50-6044

02387

9-15-91

ESTATE TAX

7-23-93

129 D 421P

129 D 422P 129 D 423P

57.97

ESTATE OF Jean M. O'Connell

c/o Edward J. White 118 South Royal Street Alexandria, VA 23214

TOTAL AMOUNT DUE

\$57.97

PSD-97-I 1505294 (REV 1/91)

	Harry Hall Mars
Dute & Dedut Bul & Cust Ca. Menadia Da	No. 2
PAY TO THE ORDER OF Jungenia Dat 127 A	19. 7 2 68-106/580
Five Thomas Sen Harles Two organis	\$ 67/2.00
FOR PMENEN 1981 760 ESTATE OF JOHN PR.	DOLLARS D'Connec
230 506044	Z
4:05E00205E1* 01**********************************	Dadet
+1:05E00106E1: 01.19037E1111100	TORS

\$284.74

This is unusual and unusual is a flag. If you try to expose the accounting trail for the Lynch payment of \$125,188 to Jean O'Connell on April 21, 1991, I believe you will find that this \$284.74 is an accounting entanglement like the \$659.97 and the \$348.89; it, for one, covers the accounting trails with confusion and conflict so that you can not find out where the money went. Can we try to expose the accounting trails for the Lynch payment of \$125,188 to Jean O'Connell on April 21, 1991?

TELEPHONE 836-5444

September 14, 1992

Mrs. Jean M. Nader 350 Fourth Ave. New Kensington, Pa. 15068

Re: 1991 Income Tax

Dear Jean,

Enclosed is the IRS reply to the amended income tax return which was filed to reflect the Lynch principal payment in 1991 which resulted in an additional \$28,334.00 in federal tax.

They did not assess a penalty, but did assess interest in the amount of \$526.55 for what I gather is the period from April 15 through July 7, 1992.

Since the estate would have had to pay the \$28,334.00 in taxes in April, and as a result of the non payment, earned interest on the money, I have split the payment of the IRS assessment between me and the estate.

During the period of 83 days that the money was in the estate account it earned an average of 3.753% which equates to \$241.81. My share is \$284.74. The checks are enclosed. Please sign the estate check if you agree and mail the package to the IRS. I am sure we will hear from Virginia to a lesser degree.

Please send a copy of this letter to Tony.

Sincerely,

Edward J. White

EJW/e Encl.

Ite	∍m	Ckno
1	Colonial Emerg Phys (med bill) VOID	1 10.40
2	Fairfax Circ Ct. letters	3 14.00
3	Jean M. Nader probate tax reimb	4 1,269.00
4	NationsBank Car loan payoff	5 1,364.97
5	Checks	15.89
6	Jean Nader int on Hallmark acct	
	while dep in estate acct	7 270.82/1
7	Commissioner of accounts Inventory	8 61.00/
8	IRS 1991 1040 return	9 15,332.00
9	Va. Dept Tax 1991 return	10 2,856.00
10	Jean M. Nader, disb	11 \$ 75,000.00
- 11	Jean M. Nader, bills pd SHE IS OWED 300pts	12 8,559.00
12	Sheila Ann O'Connell-Shevenell, disb	13 4 75,000.00
13		14 475.00
14		15 \$75,000.00
15		16 230.14/1
16	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	40.00
17	IRS estimated Estate Tax	17 119,000.00
10		18 31,000.00
19	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	19 28,334.00
20		21 5,712.00
21	National Fire Ins Co of Hartford	
	Nuveen bond	22 169.26
22	U. S. Trust processing fee	23 20.00/1
23	Harold O'Connell Trust, appraisal	24 6 2,000.00/5
24		101633,000.00
25		102633,000.00
26		103233,000.00
	IRS est share of int due 91 amd tax	104 241.81/
28	1988 Plymouth Van to Anthony O'Connell	√8,000.00√
TO:	FAL DISBURSED	548,975.29

chers in support of these disbursements are submitted herewith.

NOTES:

1. Decedent had a POD account in Hallmark Bank with Jean Nader. The bank erroneously paid the amount to the estate. This figure is the interest earned on that sum while in the estate account.

2. This represents interest earned in the estate account on the amount of the disbursement while the disbursal was delayed. This is to equalize the disbursements among the legatees.

3. Estimated tax was paid with an extension request.

4/ Expenses incurred due to lost Nuveen certificate of ownership.

Decedent owned a partial interest in 15 acres of land in Accotink. The Harold O'Connell Trust owned the other share. The estate agreed to pay for the appraisal which hopefully will reduce the value of the tract by 50%. The beneficiaries of the Trust are the same persons as the devisees under the will and in the same shares.

√6. When the 1991 income tax was prepared by Edward J. White, Co-Executor, a large capital gain was omitted necessitating the filing of an amended return. \$526.55 was assessed in interest by the IRS. The figure is the amount of interest earned by the estate while the amount due the IRS was in the estate bank account. The balance of the interest assessment was paid by Edward J. White.

Jean M. Nader and Sheila O'Connell-Shevenell agreed that the vehicle should be disbursed to Anthony M. O'Connell in addition to his 1/3 share of the remainder of the estate.

RECONCILIATION

SUM RECEIPTS SUM DISBURSED	893,165.52 548,975.29
RECEIPTS LESS DISBURSEMENTS	344,190,23

ON_HAND

Burke and Herbert Bank & Trust Co.	49,110.76
Investment Co. of America (A. G. Edwards)	71,067.78
Franklin Virginia Fund (A. G. Edwards)	56,987.19
Kemper Municipal Bond Fund (A. G. Edwards)	31,864.65
Fx Co. Ind Dev Bond (A. G. Edwards) Inv value	109,587.00
A. G. Edwards MMA and cash	3,666.60
Nuveen Premium Inc Mun Fund (A. G. Edwards)(inv	val) 11,200.00
Washington Gas Light Co. 200 sh (Inv value)	6,375.00
Signet Banking Corp 198 sh (Inv value)	4,331.25

TOTAL ON HAND TO BE DISTRIBUTED

344,190.23

DIFFERENCE

0.00

Edward J. White Co-Executor

Jean M. Nader dol-Executor

Debt \$148,484 (Estimated)

TELEPHONE 836-5444

February 25, 1992

Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell 6541 Franconia Rd. Springfield, Va. 22150

Re: Estate of Jean M. O' Connell

Dear Mr. O'Connell,

I have received your letter of February 24, 1992 in which you request that I reconsider my refusal to resign as co-executor of your mother's estate.

Once more I decline to take such action.

When your mother approached me about changing the co-executors of her will, we discussed the matter at length. She specifically desired to make the changes which are in effect now, and was quite firm in her decision. It would be clearly disloyal of me to dishonor her intentions.

If you are represented, I will be glad to discuss this matter with your counsel.

Sincerely.

Edward 环 White

EJW/e

Copy to: Jean M. Nader

Anthony O'Connell 6541 Franconia Road Springfield, Virginia 22150 {703} 971-2855 March 30, 1992

Mr. Ed White, Attorney 118 South Royal Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Reference: Estate of Jean O'Connell

.Dear Mr. White:

I have a few questions I hope you would be kind enough to answer.

- 1. As you know, the Lynch Limited Partnership plans to pay my Mother's estate \$545,820.43 on April 21, 1992. What is your best guess as to when and in what amount(s) you will make distribution(s) to the beneficiaries?
- 2. The license plates on my deceased Mother's Van expire in April of 1992. Virginia DMV requires a new title with the new owners name before they will issue new plates {The plates cannot be renewed by the co-executors signing for Jean O'Connell}. The bank will give the co-executors the title if you simply pay them the interest on the loan. I understand the principal on the loan has been paid and I am guessing that the interest is something in the range of \$1200 to \$1400. Would you please pay the bank the interest so they will give you the title? What is your decision as to who gets the van and how much will it costs?
- 3. What is your fee for being co-executor of my mother's estate?

Yours truly,

Anthony O'Connell

Copy to:

Ms. Jean O'Connell Nader 350 Fourth Avenue New Kensington, Pennsylvania 15068

TELEPHONE 836-5444

April 4, 1992

Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell 6541 Franconia Rd. Springfield, Va. 22150

Re: Estate of Jean M. O' Connell

Dear Mr. O'Connell,

I have received your letter of March 30, 1992.

The answers are:

Question 1. As soon as the money is received, the tax liabilities evaluated and upon consultation with the Co-Executor.

Question 2. Paid. It is not my decision as to what it will cost you, though I have been informed that you know full well.

Question 3. 2 1/2% of the receipts into the probate estate if approved by the Commissioner of Accounts.

I would call to your attention that on two separate occasions I drove to Sovran and spent a lengthy period of time on the question of the car loan. I did this in person since: I knew that you had the vehicle, that your sisters wanted you to have it, that the insurance and tags were due to expire soon and I did not want you to be inconvenienced. I could have done all of this by mail and it probably would have taken about three months, knowing the nature of the loan problem. I assumed I was doing you a favor.

Now I receive you letter asking that I "simply pay them the interest" I paid the interest and principal in one check on March 12, received the title on March 22 and mailed it to Mrs. Nader to sign over to you on March 23. Have you any suggestions as to how it could have gone faster?

The information of the commission was given to you previously by Mrs. Nader.

I do not know what your problem is, but in the future, please address all correspondence to Mrs. Nader.

I am trying to be patient with you, but I find that this estate is time consuming enough without having to deal with letters such as the last two that I have received.

Sincerely, Edward J. White

EJW/e

Copy to: Jean M. Nader

Payment 2

The Lynch payment of \$545,820 to Jean O'Connell's estate on April 21, 1992, is not mentioned.

Missing \$545,820 April 21,1992

The accountants do not mention the cash payment of \$545,820 to the Estate from the payoff of Lynch Note 1 on April 21, 1992, and the payment does not show in the accountings or tax returns.

When the accountants told the beneficiaries that the Lynch note would not produce any capital gain, I told them that it would and the taxes were paid. At that time I did not know that they were not reporting the \$545,820 payment. So strangely, the payment was not reported but the taxes on the payment were paid.

Can we expose the accounting trails of the \$545,820 payment?

TELEPHONE 836-5444



April 22, 1992

Mrs. Jean M. Nader 350 Fourth Ave. New Kensington, Pa. 15068

Re: Disbursement

Dear Jean,

Enclosed is an agreement which should satisfy Tony as to the car. It cannot be any clearer.

Also enclosed is a preliminary analysis of the estate tax, which should be close to being accurate. I do need to check with Jo Ann Barnes as to a technical question as to whether or not any of your father's trust comes into this. I do not think it does, but there have been many changes in the law since that trust was established. I will have to ask her to bill us for that advice and any other technical tax matters I am not comfortable with. I can do most of the rest of the tax work and save the estate some money.

The executors' commission shown on the analysis is <u>not</u> figured on the value of the realty; however it does not include the 5% commission on the receipts of the estate in addition to the inventory.

In order to file that return and the subsequent Fiduciary Income tax return we will need an accounting from Tony from the date of his last accounting to the date of death. If he does not want to prepare it, I will not agree to any preliminary disbursal to him at all, and will seek your approval to file suit against him to compel the accounting, plus damages to the estate for his delay. Since that trust terminated on your mother's death, his final accounting is due now and not in October.

There will be no further explanations or written entreaties to him as far as I am concerned. He has the duty and he will perform it under a court order if necessary. Of course he will furnish that receipt.

The preliminary analysis contains three alternatives on Accotink at the bottom for your consideration.

In the event that we do seek a reduction in the assessment Tony will be given written notice that his prompt cooperation is necessary and that if he fails to cooperate that he is aware of the

Page 2 Ltr to Mrs. Jean M. Nader April 27, 1992

adverse consequences to the estate and is responsible for them.

As far as further steps are concerned, we have a lot to do. No gift tax returns were filed for 1989 and 1991 which will have to be done. The results of those gifts are factored in under "Unified Credit used for gifts 9,784".

The paper trail in the court and IRS is as follows:

File Estate tax by June 15, 1992

File First Accounting (16 months after qualification but can be sooner)

Ask for posting of Debts and Demands against the estate.

File Fiduciary Income tax returns for period 9/15/91-9/15/92, due January 1, 1993.

File Motion for a Show Cause why distribution should not be made. Submit Show Cause Order.

Request Executor's exoneration letter from IRS and Virginia.
Obtain closing letter from IRS and Virginia as to estate tax returns.

File 1993 Fiduciary tax returns (Sept. 1992-distribution)

File for Order allowing distribution.

Distribute estate.

File Final Accounting.

Normally distribution is witheld until the Order of Distribution is entered. As I indicated the creditors have one year to press claims against the estate. No prudent executor will distribute before that period, the entry of the Order of Distribution and the receipt of the tax closing letters.

Sincerely

Edward J. White

EJW/e Encl.

5H. NOTES. STOCKS & BONDS

ck Wash Gas Light Co. 8/1/91 ck Signet 8/5/91 ck A. G. Edwards 8/15/91 ck Kemper Mun Bond Fund 4/30/91 ck Kemper Mun Bond Fund 5/31/91 ck Kemper Mun Bond Fund 3/30/91 ck Kemper Mun Bond Fund 3/30/91 ck Kemper Mun Bond Fund 8/30/91 ck Nuveen Fund 3/1/91 ck Nuveen Fund 6/3/91 ck Nuveen Fund 6/3/91 ck Nuveen Fund 8/1/91 ck Nuveen Fund 9/3/91 ck Nuveen Fund 9/3/91 ck American Funds 9/9/91 Sovran Bank #4536-2785 First Virginia Bank #4076-1509 Fx Co. Ind Dev Bond Franklin Va. Fund 4556.001 sh Investment Co. of America 3861.447 sh Kemper Mun Bond Fund 2961.152 sh Nuveen Premium Inc Mun Fund 700 sh Washington Gas Light Co. 200 sh Signet Banking Corp 198 sh Lynch Properties note Travelers Check 1988 Plymouth Van Am Funds 5/10/91 USAA Subscriber savings acct SUB TOTAL	105.00 39.60 2,346.63 162.86 162.86 162.86 162.86 63.00 63.00 63.00 66.50 424.76 3,310.46 22,812.52 109,587.00 50,507.84 65,663.91 30,396.23 6,450.50 6,375.00 4,331.25 518,903.26 20.00 8,000.00 326.60 25.10 830,599.10
OTHER ASSETS 1990 Virginia Tax refund Debt from Harold O'Connell Trust	1,605.58
Blue Cross refund SUB TOTAL	88.78 2,354.33
JOINT ASSETS Hallmark Bank #1107849600	40,796.81
REAL ESTATE 15 acres Fairfax Co. Va. 53.9006% interest	323,403.60
TOTAL ASSETS	1,197,153.84
DEBTS	
Colonial Emerg Phys (med bill) Fairfax Circ Ct. letters Jean M. Nader probate tax reimb	10.40 14.00 1,269.00
Fairfax Circ Ct. letters Jean M. Nader probate tax reimb Sovran Bank Car loan payoff	14.00 1,269.00 1,364.97
Fairfax Circ Ct. letters Jean M. Nader probate tax reimb	14.00 1,269.00 1,364.97 15.89 61.00 15,332.00 2,856.00 8,559.00 475.00 41,529.96

TAX COMPUTATION

GROSS ESTATE DEBTS & EXPENSES	1,197,153.84 72,535.46		
TAXABLE ESTATE	1,124,618.38	- ACC 75% 1,043,767.48	ACC 60% 995,256.94
TENTATIVE TAX 41% bracket Unified Credit before gift comp	396,893.53 192,800	363,744.67	343,950.21
Unified Credit used for gifts UNIFIED CREDIT	9,784 183,016.00	183,016.00	183,016.00
CREDIT FOR VIRGINIA TAX	40,375.58	35,201.12 145,527.55	32,934.39 127,999.82
NET FEDERAL TAX VIRGINIA TAX	173,501.96 40,375.58	35,201.12	32,934.39
TOTAL ESTATE TAXES	213,877.53	180,728.67	160,934.21

TELEPHONE 836-5444

May 4, 1992

Mrs. Jean M. Nader 350 Fourth Ave. New Kensington, Pa. 15068

Dear Jean,

Enclosed is the form for appealing the tax assessment of the Accotink property. On page 2, it states that there is a June 1 deadline. I do not think we can make a claim of a lesser value on the estate tax return if we do not file an appeal with the county. To fail do appeal it would hurt our argument with the IRS.

The summary of the estate tax computation and the interplay of the gift tax is as follows:

- 1. In computing the estate tax, the gross estate (which includes <u>anything</u> which passes due to death whether in the probate estate or not) is figured, the debts subtracted and the "taxable estate" is ascertained.
- 2. The tax is then computed on the taxable estate. From this figure is subtracted a "unified credit" of \$192,800 (equivalent to a taxable estate of \$600,000).
- 3. Lifetime gifts in excess of \$10,000 to any one individual are taxable at the estate/gift tax rates. Each year the donor should have filed a gift tax return, though no tax is due unless the entire \$192,800 credit has been used in making the gifts.
- 4. Each gift over \$10,000 uses a portion of the unified credit, thus reducing the amount of that credit available to apply to the estate tax.

In our case the lifetime gifts used up \$9784.00 of the available credit. A list of the gifts is enclosed. Returns for 1989 and 1991 must be filed. As fiduciaries we must certify to the IRS that the return is true and correct. We have personal liability in that regard. If we have knowledge of a gift to Tony of \$15,000, we must report it. Tony is going to have to answer that question before we can be satisfied. If he claims he did not receive the money, he will have to supply us with an affidavit to that effect.

As far as the management of an estate undergoing the probate process is concerned, the Executors are entitled to some latitude

Page 2 Ltr to Mrs. Jean M. Nader May 4, 1992

within the confines of their fiduciary duty. The decisions about the estate are theirs.

My personal operational mode in these matters is to keep the heirs fully supplied with the paperwork of the estate, and consult with them fully as to strategic and long range issues, such as the valuation of property in the Accotink situation. The day to day matters and the justification for tactical positions taken such as the contents of forms and accountings are the prerogative of the Executors and subject to the scrutiny and approval of the Commissioner of Accounts or the taxing authorities only.

With regard to the filing of the income tax return, my file indicates that I received a fax copy of the K-1 from the Harold O'Connell Trust on April 9, 1992, only six days before the tax return was due.

Sincerely,

Edward J. White

EJW/e Encl.

TELEPHONE 836-5444

November 13, 1992

Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell 6541 Franconia Rd. Springfield, Va. 22150

Mrs. Jean M. Nader 350 Fourth Ave. New Kensington, Pa. 15068

Mrs. Sheila O'Connell-Shevenell 44 Carlton St. Portland, Maine 04102

Re: Estate of Jean M. O'Connell

When I agreed yesterday to the disbursement of the A. G. Edwards accounts by the end of the year, I had not looked at the bank balance of the estate for some time. There is \$64,216.83 in the estate account which includes the sum of \$14,408.53 received today from the IRS for the estate tax overpayment.

To date the sum of \$324,000.00 has been disbursed to the heirs, which has been done on the assumption that we have on hand enough money to pay the rest of the debts. Normally an estate is not disbursed until an Estate Tax Closing Letter has been received from the IRS and Virginia.

I cannot agree to a disbursement from the Edwards accounts until a closing letter is received. As you recall the Accotink property is assessed at \$600,000.00 by the county. Based on the appraisal, we used one half of that figure (times the percentage interest owned by your mother). In the event the IRS does not agree and insists on the full valuation, the estate tax liability could increase by about \$67,000.

Out of the bank account must come the executors' commission which will be about \$45,000.00, a fee for the Fiduciary Income Tax return preparation and various filing fees of a small nature. There simply is not enough money left to cover the contingencies. A disbursal in these conditions would be a violation of the duty of the fiduciaries.

Since the IRS has issued the refund (with interest), I would assume a closing letter is not far behind.

Some questions have arisen as to your tax liabilities. The

Page 2 Ltr to Heirs November 13, 1992

Estate paid an estate tax on the value of the property owned by your mother at her death. Since the tax is paid, what is distributed to you is tax free.

In addition there is a fiduciary income tax on the earnings of the estate while it is open. The First Accounting shows income of \$56,928.52 from 9/15/91 through 9/15/92. Basically this is what will be taxed as estate income. Of this \$659.97 can be ignored as it was repayment of a debt from the O'Connell Trust and not income, and at least \$13,388.25 was tax free income. The fiduciary income tax is paid by the estate if it was not disbursed during the tax period. In your case it was disbursed, and you will receive a form K-1 showing how much should be added to your regular income. This is why it is called "pass through" income. This might be about \$14,000.00 each not counting deductions which are due to the estate. Jo Ann Barnes is preparing this return for the estate at present.

The question of capital gains comes up often in estate situations. Any asset owned by a decedent at the time of death is given a "stepped up" basis to its value at the date of death. If the heirs then sell the asset the only taxable capital gain (or loss) is the change in value between the date of death and the date of sale. The Accotink property falls in that category, though the basis on the share formerly held in trust has a basis as of the date of your father's death. The Lynch note will not produce any capital gain since it was taxed in the estate as part of your mother's assets. It will produce an income tax effect on the fiduciary income tax return since \$26,917.17 in interest was received by the estate. This is included in the \$56,928.52 referred to above.

The remaining items left to do in the estate are the filing of a request for the publication of Debts and Demands against the estate, filing a second and final accounting, obtaining a court order for the distribution of the estate and filing a second fiduciary income tax return from the period 9/15/92 through the date of disbursement.

Sincerely

Edward J. White

Sunshine 2

Sunshine on the Lynch payment of \$545,820 to Jean O'Connell's estate on April 21, 1992

Anthony O'Connell 6541 Franconia Road Springfield, Virginia 22150 {703} 971-2855 November 16, 1992

Mr. Ed White, Attorney 118 South Royal Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Ref: Your letter of 11/13/92

Dear Mr. White:

Thank you for your letter. You mention that distributions from my mother's estate to the beneficiaries are tax free (except from after death income), and that the Lynch Note will not produce any capital gains.

Perhaps I am misinterpreting your letter or perhaps I'm just plain wrong. I hope I am wrong.

The Lynch Note to the estate, a result of the installment sale of my mother's residence on 4/21/88, carries with it a taxable capital gain. The IRS requires that this capital gains tax be paid by the estate or the beneficiaries if the taxable capital gain is passed through the estate to the beneficiaries before the end of the tax year.

The gross profit percentage on the sale was seventy-nine percent (79%). The payoff of the Lynch note to the estate on 4/21/92 was \$545,820.42 of which \$45,067.74 was income and \$500,752.68 was capital. Of that \$500,752.68 in capital, 79% or \$395,594.62 is taxable capital gain.

In order for the beneficiaries to minimize penalties and interest on their quarterly estimated tax payments to the IRS, would you please tell us what share of the capital gains tax liability or any tax liability, has been distributed from the estate to the beneficiaries?

I make much better tax plans if I know what my projected taxable and non-taxable income is going to be. Six weeks remain in the tax year. Would you please send the beneficiaries, with all deliberate haste, your close out schedule for my mother's estate? Please be as specific in dollars and dates as you possible can.

Yours truly,

Anthony O'Connell

Copy to:

Ms. Jean O'Connell Nader

Ms. Sheila O'Connell

Ms. JoAnn Barnes (with a copy of your 11/13/92 letter)

TELEPHONE 836-5444

November 16, 1992

Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell 6541 Franconia Rd. Springfield, Va. 22150

Mrs. Jean M. Nader 350 Fourth Ave. New Kensington, Pa. 15068

Mrs. Sheila O'Connell-Shevenell 44 Carlton St. Portland, Maine 04102

Re: Estate of Jean M. O'Connell



Regretfully I have to amend my letter of Friday. There is no "stepped up basis" on the Lynch note according to the accountants who are preparing the fiduciary income tax return. This is subject to a credit for tax paid on part of it in the estate tax return, but it will result in an estimated \$35,000 to \$40,000.00 in tax to the estate due to the note payoff. This is one of the reasons why a further disbursement would not be wise.

In addition, Jo Ann Barnes commented to me today that the Accotink valuation could well result in a question by the IRS and she feels no disbursement should be made.

Some sale of the Edwards accounts will probably be needed.

Jo Ann also reminds me that each of you should check with your own tax adviser after receiving the K-1 forms as to payment of estimated income taxes.

Sincerely,

Edward Á. White

EJW/e

TELEPHONE 836-5444

November 17, 1992

Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell 6541 Franconia Rd. Springfield, Va. 22150

Re: Estate of Jean M. O' Connell

Dear Mr. O'Connell,

I received your letter of November 16, today. My letter of yesterday answers some of your questions. As I noted in that letter, unfortunately, you are correct on the capital gain situation. The tax will be paid by the estate on its fiduciary return.



Jo Ann Barnes is working hard on the return, and we will get you the K-1 data as soon as possible. The estate's tax year ended on August 31, 1992 and the distribution of the \$33,000.00 in September will have some tax effect on each of you. That distribution will result in a deduction for the post 8/31/92 estate tax year and the money will be passed through to you. At this point I cannot tell how much of it is going to be income and how much a distribution of estate corpus. There has been very little income since 8/31, and I suspect that most of it will be corpus. I will ask Jo Ann to try and work this up as soon as possible so that you all can do some intelligent planning.

Sincerely,

Edward J. White

EJW/e

Copy to: Jean M. Nader

J. Server

EDWARD J. WHITE

ATTORNEY AT LAW

118 SOUTH ROYAL STREET

ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE 836-5444

February 2, 1993

Mrs. Jean M. Nader 350 Fourth Ave. New Kensington, Pa. 15068

Re: Estate events

Dear Jean,

At present the status of the estate is as follows:

<u>Debts and Demands</u>: A hearing following publication, for any creditors of the estate to come forward and press their claims was held on December 30, 1992 by the Commissioner of Accounts. No one appeared.

<u>First Accounting</u>: is still awaiting approval. I spoke to the Commissioner's office on January 29, and they said they are just beginning to review accounts filed in October. The account must be reviewed and any questions answered. (I have never known of a Commissioner who did not have some questions.) The account is then approved or disapproved, and the Commissioner files his report with the court. No time prediction can be made here as this is soley in the hands of the Commissioner.

Estate Tax Closing Letter or communication in lieu of a closing letter. No time prediction can be made here as this is soley in the hands of the IRS. In estate's of this size an audit of some or all of the return is not at all unusual.

Motion for an Order to Show Cause why the estate should not be distributed. Filed by the estate after the report of the accounting has been filed with the Court by the Commissioner.

Order to Show Cause why the estate should not be distributed. This is entered by the Court upon the request (and appearance) of the estate, following two weeks publication.

Order of Distribution. Presented to the Court following the Show Cause proceeding. The Show Cause - Order of Distribution statutory scheme is the protection for the executors.

Distribution in accordance with the Order.

Second (and Final) Accounting Filed after distribution

showing all transactions since the First Accounting.

Second Fiduciary Income Tax Return Filed after distribution for the period following the first return (9/1/92 - ?)

The unknown factors as far as time is concerned are: 1) the federal and state tax closing letters, 2) When the Commissioner approves the accounting, 3) When the Commissioner makes his report to the Court, 4) Delays in the Clerk's office. The fiduciary has no control whatsoever over any of these items

Enclosed are checks to be signed to the Commissioner and to Keller-Bruner for the tax preparation. The accountant's bill is reasonable considering the complexity of the return involving tax free income, preliminary distributions and capital gains.

As far as an income prediction for the Estate is concerned, I can make no intelligent prediction since I do not know how long it will remain open. I have been continuously burned in making gratuitous comments about the tax liability of the heirs, and counsel and other attorney friends have stated to me, that given the performance of Mr. O'Connell, that I should make no comment at all. I tried to be helpful, but that did not work. I can only say that had I not been adamant about re-valuing the Accotink property. Mr. O'Connell's initial approach would have cost this estate dearly. From the comments in his recent demands for "information", I can see that he is jumping to conclusions based on no knowledge at all. I will not reply directly to him on any future aspect of this estate. As a matter of fact I am precluded as an attorney from dealing with an adverse party who is represented by counsel. I have no intentions of having him dictate the duties of the If his counsel wishes to discuss anything, I am fiduciaries. certainly available.

The present assets of the estate are:

based on Edwards 12/31/92 statement

1. Burke and Herbert Bank at a fluctuating interest rate (3.1% in January	\$8,602.89)
 Kemper Municipal Bond Fund (Edwards) based on January share price x shares owned 	32,484.60
 Franklin Va Fund (Edwards) based on December share price x shares owned 	58,185.78
4. Investment Co. of America (Edwards) based on december share price x shares owned	73,800.59
5. Nuveen Premium Inc Fund (Edwards)	11,812.50

 198 sh Signet Banking Corp (Edwards) based on Edwards 12/31/92 statement 	8,910.00
 200 sh Washington Gas Light (Edwards) based on Edwards 12/31/92 statement 	7,725.00
8. Centennial Money Market Trust (Edwards) based on Edwards 12/31/92 statement	3,949.07
9. Cash at Edwards based on Edwards 12/31/92 statement	224.60
10. Fairfax Co. 6.4% bond (Edwards)	110,000.00

This totals \$315,695.03, but is out of date since there have been additions since 12/31/92. These figures are taken from data at hand and do not represent any formal accounting by me. They are not furnished for any individual's use for personal tax purposes, and I disclaim any personal tax liability which might arise.

I am enclosing Edwards 12/31/92 statement which contains an entry for each asset's estimated annual yield. The amounts received from all of these funds will vary with market conditions. All of these Edwards assets are being reinvested, either in the specific funds or in Edwards Centennial Money Market Account. The estimates on Franklin, Kemper and ICA are much harder to figure. A complicating factor is that Nuveen, Kemper, Franklin and the Fairfax bond are tax free, though not all of them are Virginia tax free.

The following are the earnings from 9/1/92, the beginning date for the next fiduciary income tax return.

	GROSS	TAXABLE
Nuveen 9/1/92 Nuveen 9/1/92 Int earned B&H END FIRST ACCOUNTING	67.90 24.50 406.86	67.90 24.50 406.86
SECOND ACCOUNTING PERIOD		
Nuveen 5/1/92 Int earned B&H Virginia Estate tax refund Virginia Estate tax refund, interest U S Estate tax refund U S Estate tax refund Interest Int earned B&H	66.50 150.45 1,596.01 14.04 14,050.52 358.01 133.64	66.50 150.45 14.04 358.01 133.64

Page 4 Ltr to Mrs. Jean M. Nader February 2, 1993

Int earned B&H	151.96	151.96
Int earned B&H	81.46	81.46
TOTAL TO DATE	17,101.85	1,455.32

It should be noted that some of these items are tax free.

Since the tax laws now require payment of estimated taxes after the first estate tax year, I will have to compute these later. They will be due in April, if the estate is still open then.

Finally, I would like, for the record some memorandum from you and Sheila concerning my earlier comments as to attempting a further reduction in the Accotink valuation.

Sincerely,

Edward J. White

EJW/e Encl.



Eighth and Main Building 707 East Main Street, Suite 1500 Richmond, Virginia 23219-2803 Telephone: (804) 775-0500

Facsimile: (804) 775-0501 TDD: (804) 775-0502

February 10, 1993

PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL

Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell 6541 Franconia Road Springfield, Virginia 22150

Re: In the Matter of Edward James White VSB Docket No. 93-042-0976

Dear Mr. O'Connell:

This letter is in reference to your complaint against the above-referenced attorney received in our office on December 7, 1992. In addition, I acknowledge receipt of your supplemental correspondence dated January 26, 1993, received in my office on January 29. I have concluded my preliminary investigation of your original complaint and wish to advise you that your complaint presents no basis for further investigation by this office for the reasons I shall set out below.

The Respondent did not file a written answer to your complaint. However, Mr. White is represented by counsel in this matter, David R. Rosenfeld, Esquire, and I met with Mr. Rosenfeld and his associate in Alexandria to go over all of the factual matters related to this complaint.

Your complaint alleges that the Respondent served as co-executor of your father's estate along with your mother and that the Respondent allegedly withheld certain information concerning a trust which was set up under your father's will in which you were named as a trustee.

According to your complaint, you retained the Respondent in 1987 to handle a real estate closing and you allege that the Respondent appointed himself cotrustee on the note securing that transaction. Then, the day prior to closing, Respondent allegedly informed you that he was not representing your interests in this real estate transaction. You have also claimed that the Respondent has handled your mother's estate incompetently.

With respect to your first complaint, it appears that your mother, rather than you, retained the Respondent for legal assistance in her capacity as executrix

Mr. Anthony M. O'Connell Page 2 February 10, 1993

of your father's will. Apparently, your mother removed you from her will as a co-executor and nominated the Respondent in your place. However, none of these matters fall within the scope of the Code of Professional Responsibility particularly in view of the fact that you and the Respondent did not share an attorney-client relationship.

Your father's will poured over into a trust in which you were nominated trustee. By your own complaint, you admit that you hired another attorney to look into the funding of the trust, i.e., what distributions the estate would make to the trust. It is my understanding that you came to Virginia to qualify as a trustee. Again, in respect to that matter, there is no attorney-client relationship between you and the Respondent, Mr. White.

In the absence of an attorney-client relationship between you and Mr. White, Mr. White was under no ethical obligation to follow any of your directions or instructions nor was he obligated to communicate directly with you. His ethical duties regarding competence, promptness and communication were owed to your mother.

It is my understanding, based upon a reading of your complaint, that the Respondent and your attorney reached an agreement regarding the funding of the trust and the Respondent agreed to cooperate by providing your attorney with a draft of the final accounting of your father's estate.

Your complaint initially provoked a thought on my part as to why the father's estate remained open so long. However, as indicated in your complaint, Mr. White was not retained by your mother until 1985. Thus, while your complaint states that you were not aware of the fact that your father had appointed you as a co-trustee until 1985, and that your father passed away in 1975, the Respondent appears to have notified you of that fact after he had become involved in 1985.

You have also complained that your mother executed a codicil to her will removing you as a co-trustee and naming Mr. White in your stead. I find nothing improper about that particular matter as it was certainly your mother's prerogative to amend or modify her will and it was Mr. White's responsibility to follow her instructions in that regard.

Your second complaint involves an allegation that Mr. White undertook to represent your interests in a real estate closing in 1987. By letter dated December 28, 1987, you purportedly asked the Respondent to represent your interests in a transfer of property to the Lynch Properties Limited Partnership. You complain that the Respondent failed to notify you of the closing date which you fortuitously discovered from the purchasers just before the closing. In addition, you point out that the Respondent and another party were named as trustees on the Deed of Trust securing the purchase loan without your knowledge or consent. When you confronted Respondent about this, he advised that he did not represent your interests in this real estate

Anthony M. O'Connell Page 2 February 10, 1993

transaction.

My investigation reveals that the Respondent did not serve as settlement attorney for this transaction. In fact, the closing was handled by Coldwell Banker, and the legal instruments for the transaction were prepared under the supervision of McGuire, Woods, Battle & Boothe. I have seen the real estate closing file which was delivered to Mr. Wright by the McGuire, Woods firm, and I am firmly convinced that Mr. White took no part in that transaction other than to perhaps provide informal legal advice to your mother. Your letter of December 28, 1987 is insufficient as a matter to law to establish an attorney-client relationship unless there is some evidence that Mr. White did in fact undertake to handle the closing. Finally, there is no ethical issue raised simply because Mr. White is named as a co-trustee in the Deed of Trust securing the purchase by the Lynch Properties Limited Partnership.

The third complaint involved an allegation that Mr. White allegedly withheld a \$75,000 distribution until you agreed to obtain your own legal counsel. With respect to this allegation, Mr. White, in his capacity as an administrator or executor of an estate is under no obligation by law to make a interim distribution to you. Whether an interim distribution is made is entirely discretionary and the law requires a distribution to be made only upon the filing of a final accounting. With regard to your allegations of incompetence and delay on the part of Mr. White in handling your mother's estate, I have determined that Mr. White has filed in a timely manner the inventory and first accounting for this estate. No delinquency notices or show cause summonses have been issued. The only possible area of neglect appears to be the late filing of Mrs. O'Connell's income tax return, however, I am advised that Mr. White paid one-half of the accrued interest to the IRS, and that no penalties were assessed. In addition, Mr. White timely requested an extension for filing the decedent's last income tax return and therefore no penalties were involved. As justification for the delay, Mr. White points out that he experienced some delay in obtaining the K-1 from you and your own complaint appears to concede that there was a problem with getting the K-1 to Mr. White.

Based on the foregoing, I see no basis in fact or in law to conclude that Mr. White has engaged in any misconduct in violation of the Code of Professional Responsibility. Therefore, please be advised that no further action will be taken on your complaint. By copy of this letter to Respondent's counsel, Mr. Rosenfeld, I am advising him of my determination.

Very truly yours,

James M. McCauley
Assistant Bar Counsel

JMM/ge

cc: David R. Rosenfeld, Esquire