Note 6

Accounting entanglement \$241.81

Ite	em .	Ckno
1	Colonial Emerg Phys (med bill) VOID	1 10.40
2	Fairfax Circ Ct. letters	3 14.00
3	Jean M. Nader probate tax reimb	4 1,269.00
4	NationsBank Car loan payoff	5 1,364.97
5	Checks	15.89
6	Jean Nader int on Hallmark acct	
	while dep in estate acct	7 270.82 $\sqrt{1}$
7	Commissioner of accounts Inventory	8 61.00/
8	IRS 1991 1040 return	9 15,332.00
9	Va. Dept Tax 1991 return	10 2,856.00
10		11 4 75,000.00
- 11		12 , 8,559.00
12		13 4 75,000.00
13		14 475.00
	Anthony M. O'Connell, disb	15 675,000.00
15		16 230.14
16		40.00
17	IRS estimated Estate Tax	17 119,000.00
18	Virginia estimated Estate Tax	18 31,000.00
19		19 28,334.00
20		21 5,712.00
21	National Fire Ins Co of Hartford	22 160 26.4
22	Nuveen bond	22 169.26 1 23 20.00 1
22 23	U. S. Trust processing fee Harold O'Connell Trust, appraisal	23 20.00/1
24		24 \(2,000.00\) 101\(33,000.00\)
25	Anthony M. O'Connell, disbursement	102 33,000.00
25		103233,000.007
27		104 241.81
28		√8,000.00√
TO:	FAL DISBURSED	548,975.29

chers in support of these disbursements are submitted herewith.

NOTES:

1. Decedent had a POD account in Hallmark Bank with Jean Nader. The bank erroneously paid the amount to the estate. This figure is the interest earned on that sum while in the estate account.

2. This represents interest earned in the estate account on the amount of the disbursement while the disbursal was delayed. This is to equalize the disbursements among the legatees.

3. Estimated tax was paid with an extension request.

4/ Expenses incurred due to lost Nuveen certificate of ownership.

Decedent owned a partial interest in 15 acres of land in Accotink. The Harold O'Connell Trust owned the other share. The estate agreed to pay for the appraisal which hopefully will reduce the value of the tract by 50%. The beneficiaries of the Trust are the same persons as the devisees under the will and in the same shares.

√6. When the 1991 income tax was prepared by Edward J. White, Co-Executor, a large capital gain was omitted necessitating the filing of an amended return. \$526.55 was assessed in interest by the IRS. The figure is the amount of interest earned by the estate while the amount due the IRS was in the estate bank account. The balance of the interest assessment was paid by Edward J. White.

Jean M. Nader and Sheila O'Connell-Shevenell agreed that the vehicle should be disbursed to Anthony M. O'Connell in addition to his 1/3 share of the remainder of the estate.

RECONCILIATION

SUM RECEIPTS SUM DISBURSED	893,165.52 548,975.29
RECEIPTS LESS DISBURSEMENTS	344,190,23

ON_HAND

Burke and Herbert Bank & Trust Co.	49,110.76					
Investment Co. of America (A. G. Edwards)	71,067.78					
Franklin Virginia Fund (A. G. Edwards)	56,987.19					
	•					
Kemper Municipal Bond Fund (A. G. Edwards) 31,864.65						
Fx Co. Ind Dev Bond (A. G. Edwards) Inv value 109,587.00						
A. G. Edwards MMA and cash 3,666.60						
Nuveen Premium Inc Mun Fund (A. G. Edwards)(invval) 11,200.00						
Washington Gas Light Co. 200 sh (Inv value)	6,375.00					
Signet Banking Corp 198 sh (Inv value)	4.331.25					

TOTAL ON HAND TO BE DISTRIBUTED

DIFFERENCE

Edward J. White Co-Executor

Jean M. Nader

dol-Executor

Anthony O'Connell 6541 Franconia Road Springfield, Virginia 22150 May 29, 1992

Mr. Ed White, Attorney 118 South Royal Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314

Reference: Your letter of May 19, 1992

Dear Mr. White:

Thank you for your letter concerning the Seventh Trust accounting. In the future would you please send letters concerning me or the trust directly to me? It will save the beneficiaries attorney expense. I would appreciate you sending a copy to Mr. Prichard.

I talked with Mr. Forrest Balderson today. Mr. Balderson prepared the account and states that the numbers are correct. He reminded me that court accounting and taxable accounting are different animals and often do not match. I believe this applies to your questions in paragraphs 1 and 2. Please feel free to call Mr. Balderson at (703) 549-7800.

I will try to address your paragraph 3. Rather than wait until the end of each year and calculate the exact net income of the trust to be distributed to my mother, I estimated the net income in April so I could make the distribution to her immediately after the trust received the annual April payment. The consequent year end adjustments were:

Third Account	\$ -5,906.72	{Mother owed to trust}
Fourth Account	- 687.03	{Mother owed to trust}
Fifth Account	+5,796.98	{Trust owed to mother}
Sixth Account	<u>-2,908.97</u>	{Mother owed to trust}
Net carryover	\$ -3,705.74	{Mother owed to trust}
Seventh Account, 1991	\$ +5,181.71	{Trust owed to mother}

The net carryover of \$-3,705.74 up to the seventh account combined with the \$+5,181.71 of the seventh account netted \$1,475.97 the trust owed my mother. This is the \$1,475.97 check I mailed to you.

Mr. Balderson tells me he called you concerning the real estate taxes before he did the account and discussed it with you. Is it necessary to change it now?

My trust accounting is on a cash basis. I think a per diem split of the September interest would be accrual accounting. I don't think I can mix the two methods. If the Commissioner of Accounts says it's appropriate, it's fine with me.

At this point in time, I believe Mr. Balderson and I are of one mind that the estate does not owe the trust and the trust does not owe the estate.

- I have a few questions concerning my mother's 1991 tax return.
- 1. My copy shows she should be penalized by IRS and Virginia because adequate estimated tax payments were not made after her death. I believe my sister is convinced I am responsible for this. If it is my fault, I will pay for it out of my pocket. I feel the other beneficiaries should not be charged for the negligence of another. Would you please lay out the specifics on what happened? Please be very specific.
- 2. My copy also does not show the principal of \$125,188.17 paid to my mother by the Lynch Note in April of 1991. It does show the interest. With a gross profit percentage of .79 on the installment sale, about \$ 98,898.65 of the \$125,188.17 should have been reported on line 13 of the 1040 as a capital gain. It appears that this omission is up and above the penalties and interest already acknowledged. Why was it not reported? Will you amend the return?
- 3. On Schedule B under dividend income, what is the significance of "**BAL ON 1040 OF JEAN NADER, SSN 225 50 9052"?
- I look forward to your response.

Yours truly,

Anthony O'Connell

1

Enclosures:

Your letter of May 19, 1992

IRS Form 1040, Schedule B and Wavier of Penalty Request for Jean O'Connell, 1991. The other IRS forms attached to this return were not included in this enclosure.

Copies to:

Mr. Ed Prichard

Mr. Forrest Balderson

Ms. Jean Nader

Ms. Sheila O'Connell

EDWARD J. WHITE

ATTORNEY AT LAW

118 SOUTH ROYAL STREET

ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE 836-5444

June 30, 1992

Mrs. Jean M. Nader 350 Fourth Ave. New Kensington, Pa. 15068

Re: Gift and Income tax returns

Dear Jean,

Enclosed are: Virginia and IRS amended 1991 tax returns to be signed and mailed, letters to the IRS and Virginia, checks for each, and gift tax returns for 1989 and 1991 to be to be signed and mailed.

I will pay any interest and penalty which accrues on the amended tax return. The amount reflects the tax on the \$125,188.17 principal payment made on the Lynch note in the Spring of 1991.

I never heard from Tony after my letter asking his input on the real estate tax matter. I gather from his letter to Fairfax County of June 25, that he is taking it over which is fine with me.

Sincerely

Edward J. White

EJW/e Encl.

The second secon

EDWARD J. WHITE ATTORNEY AT LAW 118 SOUTH ROYAL STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE 836-5444

June 30, 1992

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

Re: Jean M. O'Connell SSN 230 50 6044 1991 INCOME TAX RETURN

Gentlemen:

The amendment Enclosed is an amended return in this case. reflects the receipt of \$99,337.00 of taxable income which was due to a principal payment on a note.

This payment was received in the Spring of 1991. O'Connell died in September 1991. The original returns were based upon her previous year's return when there was no such payment. At the time of filing the receipt of this capital gain had not been m called to the attention of the Co-Executors.

It is requested that the interest and penalty in this case be waived...

Sincerely

Edward J. White

Wader Jean M/.

Co-Execu ors.

EDWARD J. WHITE

ATTORNEY AT LAW

118 SOUTH ROYAL STREET

ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE 836-5444

September 14, 1992

Mrs. Jean M. Nader 350 Fourth Ave. New Kensington, Pa. 15068

Re: 1991 Income Tax

Dear Jean,

Enclosed is the IRS reply to the amended income tax return which was filed to reflect the Lynch principal payment in 1991 which resulted in an additional \$28,334.00 in federal tax.

They did not assess a penalty, but did assess interest in the amount of \$526.55 for what I gather is the period from April 15 through July 7, 1992.

Since the estate would have had to pay the \$28,334.00 in taxes in April, and as a result of the non payment, earned interest on the money, I have split the payment of the IRS assessment between me and the estate.

During the period of 83 days that the money was in the estate account it earned an average of 3.753% which equates to \$241.81. My share is \$284.74. The checks are enclosed. Please sign the estate check if you agree and mail the package to the IRS. I am sure we will hear from Virginia to a lesser degree.

Please send a copy of this letter to Tony.

Sincerely,

Edward J. White

EJW/e Encl.